



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,735	04/20/2001	Gregg Freishtat	P3984	3037
24739 7590 02/08/2007 CENTRAL COAST PATENT AGENCY, INC 3 HANGAR WAY SUITE D			EXAMINER	
			KARMIS, STEFANOS	
WATSONVILLE, CA 95076			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
CHOPTCHED CTATUTORY	OCHAD OF RESPONSE	MAII DATE	. DELIVER	VMODE
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	THS .	02/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/839,735	FREISHTAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stefano Karmis	3691				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status ·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	ovember 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 32-41 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-41</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-41</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·— · · · · —— ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All · b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage				
	•					
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following communication is in response to Applicant's amendment filed 15 November 2006.

Status of Claims

Claims 32 and 37 are currently amended. Claims 1-31 are cancelled. Therefore claims
 32-41 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3691

6. Claims 32-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freishtat et al. (hereinafter Freishtat) U.S. Patent 6,317,783 in view of Dent et al. (hereinafter Dent) U.S. Patent 6,128,603 in further view of Making the Smart Investment In Personal Finance Software, Working At Home (hereinafter Working At Home).

Regarding claims 32 and 37, Freishtat teaches a network-based syndicated transaction system, comprising: a first enterprise hosing integrated transaction services provided for scraping and aggregating personal information (PI) specific to an end user, and providing PI and transaction services to the end user (column 4, lines 22-51); a second enterprise maintaining at least one financial account specific to the end user in which the end user ha a monetary balance; a third enterprise maintaining a billing account specific to the end user (column 16, lines 11-24) and an interactive software graphical user interface (GUI) provided by the first enterprise and executable on an end user appliance displaying at least one indication representing the user's appliance. Freishtat fails to teach at least one indication representing the user's financial account, and at least one indication representing at least one bill associated with a billing account on which a balance is owed and instructing the first enterprise to pay the bill using funds from the financial account by dragging the indication of the account to the indication of the bill.

Dent teaches a consumer based system and method for managing and paying electronic billing statements in which there exists at least one indication representing a user's financial account (column 3, lines 38-44) and at least one indication representing at least one bill associated with a billing account for which a monetary balance is owed by the user (column 7, line 56 thru column 8, line 2). Dent further teaches instructing bill payment by dragging the

Application/Control Number: 09/839,735

Art Unit: 3691

indication of the bill to the account (column 8, lines 36 thru column 9, line 20 and Figure 7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Freishtat to include the drag and drop teachings of Dent since both Freishtat and Dent teach account management and it specifies a method for an active interaction method of bill payment.

Freishtat and Dent fail to teach dragging the account to the bill. Working At Home teaches personal finance software that helps to manage finances by keeping track of bank accounts, credit card accounts, expenses, taxes and income (page 2, top). One software discussed, Kiplinger's CA-Simply Money contains drag and drop buttons that allow a user to drag their checking account button and drop it on the electric company button to pay the electric bill (page 7, middle). This software therefore teaches dragging the account to the bill. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the Applicant's invention to modify the teachings of Freishtat in view of Dent to include the teachings of Working At Home because it allows for the payment of bills by manipulating a graphical user-interface similar to Dent. Since only one account is required in the claim, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that dragging the account to the bill, or the bill to the account are obvious modifications since they accomplish the same instructions for payment.

Claims 33 and 38, Freishtat teaches that the network is the Internet network (column 4, lines 22-51).

Application/Control Number: 09/839,735

Art Unit: 3691

Claims 34 and 39, Dent teaches at least two or more indications representing bills due (column 7, lines 56 thru column 8, line 2 and Figure 5). Dent also teaches the use of a checking account in the bill payment and also allows for the cashflow analyzer to recommend at certain times, payment to other accounts such as credit cards (column 10, lines 1-14). This recommendation is an indication of a second account. Work At Home teaches that the buttons represent multiple accounts including bank and credit card accounts (page 7, middle). Work At Home teaches dragging one of the account buttons to the bill desired to pay (page 7, middle). Dent and Work At Home teach instructing bill payment with drag and drop software and both teach the ability to use bank accounts. While Dent teaches recommending using a credit card, Dent fails to actually teach implementing the credit card as drag and drop whereas Work At Home allows for a finance button such as bank account or credit card account to be dragged and drop for payment of a bill. Therefore, the bank account or credit card account button can be dragged for payment. There is sufficient motivation to combine the teachings of Freishtat with Dent and Work At Home because they provide an efficient manner for paying bills.

Claims 35 and 40, wherein the billing information is provided to the service by the third enterprise maintaining a billing account specific to the end user (column 6, line 55 thru column 7, line 6, column 9, lines 30-58 and column 16, lines 10-24).

Claims 36 and 41, wherein the billing information is provided to the user and the user enters the billing information to the service utilizing the GUI (column 6, line 55 thru column 7, line 6, column 9, lines 30-58 and column 16, lines 10-24).

Application/Control Number: 09/839,735 Page 6

Art Unit: 3691

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 15 November 2006 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection. Therefore claims 32-41 stand rejected and Applicant's request for allowance is respectfully declined.

Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stefano Karmis whose telephone number is (571) 272-6744. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8-5.

Art Unit: 3691

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alex Kalinowski can be reached on (571) 272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Respectfully Submitted

Stefanos Karmis

30 January 2007